

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that a fully responsive Response to the July 31, 2003 Office Action was received by the Patent Office on September 2, 2003, without any extension of time being necessary (due to August 31, 2003 being a Sunday and September 1, 2003 being a federal holiday). Thus, Applicant respectfully submits that no extension of time is necessary for responding to the July 31, 2003 Office Action or the November 19, 2003 Office Communication. However, if any extension of time is necessary, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension of time and hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge any extension of time fees, or other fees which may be due, to Deposit Account Number 12-0769.

The substance of Applicant's response filed September 2, 2003 is repeated below.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the subject application. Claims 1-65 are pending in this application.

The claims are subjected to a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. §121 as containing two distinct inventions:

- I. Claims 1-15 and 44-64, drawn to analyzing excitation patterns, classified in class 704, subclass 223.
- II. Claims 16-27, 28-37, 38-43, and 65, drawn to video distribution system with local interaction, classified in class 725, subclass 135.


Applicant hereby elects to prosecute claims 16-27, 28-37, 38-43, and 65 (Group II).

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and issuance of the subject application. Should any matter in this case remain unresolved, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone conference with the Examiner to resolve any such outstanding matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 12/9/03

By:


Allan T. Sponseller
Reg. No. 38,318
(509) 324-9256